AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when on the agenda for a Commission meeting.

DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2009-03 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by Andrew J. Surdykowski, Esq., on behalf of the IntercontinentalExchange, Inc.

Draft Advisory Opinion 2009-03 is scheduled to be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Thursday, April 16, 2009.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

- 1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.
- 2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00pm noon (Eastern Time) on April 15, 2009.
- 3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.
- 4) All timely received comments will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office.

CONTACTS

Press inquiries:

Judith Ingram (202) 694-1220

Commission Secretary:

Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to AO 2009-03, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530 or visit the Commission's website at www.fec.gov.

For questions about comment submission procedures, contact Rosemary C. Smith, Associate General Counsel, at (202) 694-1650.

MAILING ADDRESSES

Commission Secretary Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Rosemary C. Smith Associate General Counsel Office of General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

2009 APR -9 P 3: 43

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 04-16-09

April 9, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Thomasenia P. Duncan

General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith According Associate General Counsel

Amy L. Rothstein HK
Assistant General Counsel

Joshua S. Blume JSB

Attorney

Subject:

Draft AO 2009-03 (IntercontinentalExchange, Inc)

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for April 16, 2009.

Attachment

1 **ADVISORY OPINION 2009-03** 2 **DRAFT** 3 Mr. Andrew J. Surdykowski, Esq. Vice President & Associate General Counsel 4 5 IntercontinentalExchange, Inc. 2100 RiverEdge Parkway 6 7 Suite 500 8 Atlanta, GA 30328 9 Dear Mr. Surdykowski: 10 We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of 11 IntercontinentalExchange, Inc. ("ICE, Inc."), concerning the application of the Federal 12 Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Commission regulations to 13 a proposed change to ICE, Inc.'s current policy of matching voluntary contributions to ICE, Inc.'s separate segregated fund ("SSF"), ICE-PAC, with charitable donations 14 15 (the "charity-match program"). ICE, Inc. proposes to increase the amount of its 16 charitable donation under the charity-match program from the current level of \$1 donated 17 for each \$1 contributed to ICE-PAC, to up to \$2 donated for each \$1 contributed to ICE-18 PAC. 19 The Commission concludes that ICE, Inc. may modify its charity-match program 20 as proposed. 21 Background 22 The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter dated 23 February 20, 2009, telephone conversations with Commission attorneys, and information

available on publicly accessible Internet websites.

24

1 ICE, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that operates regulated global futures 2 exchanges and over-the-counter markets for agricultural, energy, equity index and currency contracts, as well as credit derivatives. Twice each year, ICE, Inc.'s SSF, 3 4 ICE-PAC, solicits voluntary political contributions from both ICE, Inc.'s "restricted class" and from employees beyond the restricted class. ICE. Inc. currently operates a 5 6 charity-match program to encourage contributions to its SSF. Under this program, ICE, 7 Inc. matches contributions to ICE-PAC in the amount of \$100 or more with an equivalent 8 donation, out of its general treasury funds, to a charitable organization chosen by the 9 contributor, up to the maximum amount an individual can contribute to an SSF in a calendar year. For example, if an employee contributes \$100 to ICE-PAC, that 10 11 employee may select the section 501(c)(3) charity that will receive a \$100 charitable 12 donation from ICE, Inc. 13 Contributors to ICE-PAC who participate in the charity-match program do not realize any tax benefit as a result of their participation. Further, they do not receive any 14 kind of payment, reward, award, or premium from ICE, Inc., ICE-PAC, or from the 15 16 charities that they select as recipients of the charitable donations. Each letter that ICE,

Inc. sends to a potential ICE-PAC contributor includes a copy of ICE-PAC's Operating

¹ See www.theice.com/about.jhtml (Last visited 2/27/2009).

² "A corporation's restricted class is its stockholders and executive or administrative personnel, and their families, and the executive and administrative personnel of its subsidiaries, branches, divisions, and departments and their families." 11 CFR 114.1(j).

³ Copies of ICE-PAC's FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization, and other disclosure reports may be accessed at http://images.nictusa.com/cgi-bin/fecimg/?C00443168 (Last visited 2/27/09).

⁴ To be eligible for inclusion in the charity-match program, ICE, Inc. requires the charitable organization to be described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, such that a donor to the charity would normally be eligible to take tax deductions.

- 1 Guidelines. The Operating Guidelines advise potential contributors that they may not
- 2 receive any financial or tangible benefit as a result of the matching charitable donation
- 3 that ICE, Inc. makes. ICE, Inc. also sends letters to the charities themselves, advising
- 4 them that ICE-PAC contributors cannot receive any tangible benefit from those charities
- 5 in exchange for the charitable donations made by ICE, Inc.⁵
- 6 ICE, Inc. wishes to modify its charity-match program to encourage increased
- 7 participation in ICE-PAC by its contributors and to provide additional funds to charities.
- 8 The modification would allow ICE, Inc. to make charitable donations that would exceed
- 9 the amounts contributed to ICE-PAC, up to a charitable donation of \$2 for each \$1
- 10 contributed to ICE-PAC. For example, ICE, Inc. might choose to donate \$1.50 to a
- charity for each \$1 contributed to ICE-PAC for a total individual contribution under
- 12 \$1,000, and to donate \$2 for each \$1 contributed for a total individual contribution of
- \$1,000 or more. All other aspects of ICE, Inc.'s charity-match program would remain the
- 14 same.

Question Presented

- 16 Would ICE, Inc.'s donation from its corporate treasury funds of up to \$2 to a
- 17 charitable organization chosen by a contributor to ICE-PAC for each \$1 contributed to
- 18 ICE-PAC be permissible as an "establishment, administration, and solicitation cost"
- 19 under 11 CFR 114.1(b)?

⁵ A copy of ICE-PAC's Operating Guidelines and a sample letter that ICE, Inc. sends to each charity are included in the advisory opinion request, and may be accessed at http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao?SUBMIT=ao&AO=2908.

20

Legal Analysis and Conclusions.

2 Yes, ICE, Inc.'s donation from its corporate treasury funds of up to \$2 to a 3 charitable organization chosen by a contributor to ICE-PAC for each \$1 contributed to 4 ICE-PAC would be permissible as an "establishment, administration and solicitation 5 cost" under 11 CFR 114.1(b). 6 Generally, the Act and Commission regulations prohibit a corporation from 7 making contributions or expenditures in connection with any Federal election. 2 U.S.C. 8 441b(a); 11 CFR 114.2(a). The Act excludes from the definition of "contribution or 9 expenditure" those expenses that are paid by the corporation for "the establishment, 10 administration, and solicitation of contributions to a separate segregated fund to be 11 utilized for political purposes by a corporation . . . " 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2)(C). See also 12 11 CFR 114.1(b) (referring to "[e]stablishment, administration, and solicitation costs"). 13 A corporation may not, however, use this process as a means of exchanging treasury monies for voluntary contributions to its SSF, such as through a bonus, expense account, 14 or other form of direct or indirect compensation. 11 CFR 114.5(b)(1).6 15 16 The Commission has previously approved a corporation's provision of charity-17 match donations as a permissible solicitation expense related to fundraising for the 18 corporation's SSF, subject to certain conditions. See, e.g. Advisory Opinions 2003-33 19 (Anheuser-Busch), 2003-04 (Freeport-McMoRan), 1994-07 (GEON PAC), 1989-09

(General Dynamics), 1987-18 (Texas Industries PAC), and 1986-44 (EdPAC). The

⁶ The Act and Commission regulations also set forth the classes of persons who may be solicited and regulate the method and manner of solicitation of those persons. See, e.g. 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(4)(A)(i) and (B); 11 CFR 114.5(a), 114.5(g)(1), and 114.6. ICE, Inc. has not raised any question in its request concerning the propriety of its solicitation practices. The Commission assumes, for the purpose of rendering this advisory opinion, that ICE, Inc.'s solicitation practices conform to all requirements established by the Act and all relevant Commission regulations.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 Commission concluded that each of these charity-match programs would not constitute a

2 prohibited exchange of corporate treasury monies for political contributions because no

3 contributor to the corporate SSF would receive a financial, tax, or other tangible benefit

4 from the corporation, the SSF, or the charities receiving the matching donations.

ICE, Inc.'s current plan, in which ICE, Inc. matches contributions to its SSF with equivalent charitable donations, appears to conform to the conditions established in the Commission's prior advisory opinions. *See id.* The sole question, then, is whether ICE, Inc.'s proposal to increase its matching charitable donations to up to twice the amount contributed to ICE-PAC would, in and of itself, transform the charity-match program into a prohibited corporate contribution.

The Commission concludes that ICE, Inc.'s proposed modification is permissible, so long as the contributors continue to receive no tangible benefit or premium in exchange for their contributions to the SSF. ICE, Inc. indicates that, other than the increase in the amount of corporate treasury funds used to make charitable matching donations, all other aspects of the charity-match program will remain the same. Thus, the only effect of increasing the level of charitable donation to up to twice the level of the contribution to the SSF would be to increase ICE, Inc.'s permissible solicitation expenses. For this reason, ICE, Inc. may implement its proposed modification to its charity-match program.⁷

⁷ This conclusion assumes that ICE, Inc. will not act as the agent of a political party committee or of a Federal candidate or officeholder while administering the charity-match program. See 2 U.S.C. 441i(d), e(1) and (4), and 11 CFR 300.11, 300.37, 300.50, 300.51, 300.52, and 300.65; see also Advisory Opinion 2003-04 (FreeportMcMoran), n.3.

| 1 | The Commission expresses no opinion regarding any tax ramifications of the |
|----------|---|
| 2 | described activity because this question is not within the Commission's jurisdiction. |
| 3 | This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the |
| 4 | Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your |
| 5 | request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any |
| 6 | of the facts or assumptions presented and such facts or assumptions are material to a |
| 7 | conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requester may not rely on that |
| 8 | conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific |
| 9 | transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the |
| 10 | transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on |
| 11 | this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note the analysis or |
| 12 | conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the |
| 13 | law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions and case law. |
| 14 | All cited advisory opinions are available on the Commission's website at |
| 15 | http://saos.nictusa.com/saos/searchao. |
| 16 17 | On behalf of the Commission, |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Steven T. Walther |
| 20 | Chairman |
| | |